STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

Command: Newhall Area	Division: Southern	Number:
Evaluated by: Sergeant J. Mar	tinez, #11332	Date: 01/05/10
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answer applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Excepti Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up ar Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only of	be comment ons Document ond/or correction	ted on via thent and addreive ent and addreive evenion(s)	e "Remarks essed to the taken. If th	s" section. Additionally, such e next level of command. his form is used as a Follow-up
TWO OF MODEOTION	Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	re:	
TYPE OF INSPECTION				
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level	1.00	. //		
	100	e acc		
Executive Office Level Voluntary Self-Inspection	Commande	er's Signature:		Date:
Follow-up Required:	Commande	si s digitaturg.		Date.
		I_{1}/Λ		1-6-10
Yes	/	UUL		1-6-10
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6,				
HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5,				
Chapter 2, and HPM 10.3, Chapters 24 and 28.				
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	planation.	
 Is the hiring company/agency for reimbursable 				Remarks:
overtime being held responsible for paying a	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	, comande
minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP				
uniformed employee, regardless of length of				
service/detail? 2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated				
to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the				
scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed				
employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation?				
3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used			-	Down aday
for all overtime associated with reimbursable special		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
projects?				
 Is the commander ensuring nonuniformed personnel 				Remarks:
overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of		☐ No	□ N/A	Temano.
Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects?				
5. Is the commander ensuring non-reimbursable	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
overtime is not being claimed for an employee, other				
than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or compensated time off for hours worked during their	1		E.5	
regular work shift time?				
6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the				
CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Refer to exceptions document.
a regular day off?				document.
7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance -				Remarks:
Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant		☐ No	□ N/A	Nemans.
when overtime is associated for civil court?				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

***************************************	em	the CHP 415s with overtime indicate the ployee's lunch period or indicate "None" if the ployee worked through their lunch break?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	9. Dic	the supervisor sign the CHP 415s approving the ertime?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	wo	e claimed overtime meals related to overtime rked within 50 miles of the employee's adquarters?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	the pro cou	overtime is incurred by a peer support counselor, is name of the employee to whom support was ovided excluded from the CHP 415 of the unselor?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2	use	the "Notes" section on side two of the CHP 415 ed to explain any overtime listed on side one of the IP 415?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
		e employee's Compensated Time Off hours aintained within reasonable balances?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	inc nu	the commander ensuring employees are not curring overtime due to working over the allotted mber of hours for any given Fair Labor Standards t (FLSA) period?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	15. Is t are the	the commander ensuring uniformed employees e not working voluntary overtime which results in em working more than 16.5 hours in a 24 hour riod?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	16. Do	the CHP 415 total overtime hours agree with the onthly Attendance Report (MAR)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
-		e the MARs retained for at least three years and ntain the commander's signature?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

Command:	Division:	Number:
Newhall Area	Southern	
Evaluated by:		Date:
Sergeant J. Mai	tinez, #11332	01/05/10
Assisted by:		Date:

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items applicable legal statues, or deficiencies no discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be Furthermore, the Exceptions Document sh Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box	ted in the inspections shall to documented on an Exceptionall include any follow-up and	oe comment ons Docume d/or correcti	ted on via th ent and addro ve action(s)	e "Remark essed to th taken. If tl	s" section. A e next level on his form is us	dditionally, such of command.
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Lead Inspec	ctor's Signatu	re:		
☐ Division Level ☐ Co	ommand Level	for	e The			
☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Vo	oluntary Self-Inspection			<u> </u>		
Follow-up Required:	Follow-up Inspection	Commande	r's Signature:			Date:
⊠Yes □ No	, r one in up interestion		MI	^		1-6-10
For applicable policy, refer to: GO	40.6					
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked	d, the "Remarks" section :	shall be uti	lized for ex	planation		
If the commander became awagency or organization is prop	are that another posing or has submitted	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
a grant application to a funding Office of Traffic Safety (OTS)						
on traffic safety goals clearly v	within the jurisdiction of					
the Department, did the commappropriate assistant commiss						
Has OTS grant funding, through Plan, been sought for traffic sales.		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
for the purpose of conducting	inventories, need and					
engineering studies, system d implementations?	levelopment or program					
Has the command sought gra the expenses associated with		⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
identified by the National High Administration?						
4. Has the commander ensured	grant funds are not					
being reallocated to fund othe	r programs or used for	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
non-reimbursable overtime ex 5. Are concept papers regarding						
submitted through channels to		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Unit (GMU)?	mine the current					
Was GMU contacted to determ personnel billing rates used for the contact to	or grant projects when	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
preparing concept paper budg	jets?					

2 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6

Command Grant Management

7. Is supporting documentation of consent and acceptance (of the work, goods, or services provided by the state on behalf of a local government agency as required by 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1250) being submitted to OTS for all grant projects coded as "for local benefit"?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
8. Were all copies of the grant project agreements, revisions, and claim invoices signed by the Project Director, or designated alternate?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
9. Were all inquiries or correspondence concerning the availability of grant funds or other contacts with grant funding agencies coordinated/processed through GMU?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10. Are all expenditures of grant funds approved by GMU prior to entering into any obligations, with the exception of personnel costs?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11. Are quarterly progress reports forwarded though channels to GMU in accordance with the instructions contained in the associated project MOU?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Are all requirements of the grant agreement and MOU being met?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Is a final project report being prepared in accordance with the funding agency and departmental requirements upon the termination of the grant project?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 14. Does every invoice associated with a grant funded project contain the project number and name?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Are all purchases of grant-funded equipment acquired under an OTS grant exceeding a unit cost of \$5,000 being documented on an Equipment Report, Form OTS-25?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Has grant funded equipment been inspected to ensure it is being utilized in accordance with the respective grant agreement?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 17. Are applications for federal funds in accordance with Government Code Section 13326 including obtaining approval from the Department of Finance and/or the Governor's office prior to submission to the appropriate federal authority? This would include any of the following: Applications for federal funds which are not included in the budget approved by the Governor. Applications for federal funds which exceed the amount specified in the budget. 	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

Page 3 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6

Command Grant Management

	Federal Ass Clearinghou	Standard Form 424, Application for sistance, filed with the State use for all approved unbudgeted grant beived by the Department of Finance?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	19. Has any red the criteria f Control Sec	uest for unanticipated federal funds met or legislative notification set forth in tion 28.00 of the annual Budget Act?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	purpose?	nds being used for their intended	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Safety Assist through the are submitte	oplications related to the Motor Carrier stance Program (MCSAP) being routed Commercial Vehicle Section before they get to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Security Gra Emergency	oplications related to the Homeland ant Program being routed through the Operations Section before they are the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Questions 23 throu	igh 26 pertain to the Grants Manageme	nt Unit			
	Memorandu	repared an annual Management im to be disseminated to all commanders rticipation in the Department's Highway ram?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	to a memore Division to A	end the concept paper as an attachment andum through the Planning and Analysis Assistant Commissioner, Field, and ommissioner, Staff, and their Executive	Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	using the C to all comm	ute copies of the Draft Grant Agreement HP Form 60, Staff Summary Statement, ands with responsibility for or that have n the project?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
-	26. Was a Mem involved co	norandum of Understanding between mmands outlining the responsibilities of and prepared and distributed by GMU?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

Last printed 1/5/2010 1:09 PMSTATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Newhall Area	Southern	
Inspected by:		Date:
Sergeant J. Ma	rtinez, #11332	01/05/10

Page 1 of 3

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pra	
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	₋evel	Total hours expended inspection:	I on the	☑ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	:	
NONE				
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:		
NONE				
Inspector's Findings:				

On January 5, 2010, Sergeant Jorge Martinez, #11332, conducted an inspection of the Newhall Area's grant management and overtime usage. The review was conducted utilizing the guidelines set by Highway Patrol Manual (HPM) 22.1, Chapter 6, HPM 11.1, Chapter 6, HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5 Chapter 2, HPM 10.3 Chapters 24 and 28, and General Order 40.6. Initially, ten percent of the Area's records for the year 2009 were inspected. After several discrepancies were revealed, an additional ten percent of the records were inspected for a total of twenty percent.

Newhall's grant management is overseen by Officer B. Turnn, #15093. Officer Turnn has been the Area's coordinator for 1.5 years.

The Newhall Area's overtime is managed by supervisors and managers utilizing a system of checks and balances set forth by the Area Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) and departmental policy.

Officers who work any overtime detail are required to prepare a CHP A415. The A415 is then reviewed by a supervisor. If the overtime is non-reimbursable (ie. shift extension or court), the A415 is signed off by a supervisor and submitted to MIS. If the overtime is reimbursable, the officer submits a printed copy of their A415 along with supporting contract documents to the overtime coordinator, Sergeant R. Miler, #13086. Sergeant Miler then reviews and approves the documents. Sergeant Miler tracks the overtime and ensures a report is prepared and forwarded to Southern Division monthly.

Last printed 1/5/2010 1:09 PMSTATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2	of	3
--------	----	---

Command: Newhall Area	Division: Southern	Chapter:
Inspected by:		Date:
Sergeant J. Ma	rtinez, #11332	01/05/10

When the Newhall Area receives a summons on a CHP 90 (Civil Deposition), Office Assistant Christina Hill, #A14340, records the summons in the Area's computer system to facilitate tracking its status. A copy of the CHP 90 is then served to the named officer. After fulfilling the requirements of the summons, the officer fills out and submits the CHP 90 along with a corresponding A415 to a supervisor for approval. The CHP 90 is then forwarded to Christina Hill and its status is updated in the computer system.

Action Item #1

Command Overtime Question 3: Are reimbursable special projects codes being utilized for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects?

• It was discovered that officers assigned to special projects were using the special code on their A415 for the initial assignment; however, those same codes were not being used for court when the subpoena pertained to that special project.

Action Item #2

Command Overtime Question 6: Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daily Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off?

 It was discovered officers are not documenting "RDO" in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415 for all regular days off when working overtime.

Jommander's Respons	se: 🔲 Concur or 🔲 Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response
ee corrective action pla	an / timeline.
•	
•	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)	

Last printed 1/5/2010 1:09 PMSTATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Newhall Area	Division: Southern	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sergeant J. Martinez, #11332		Date: 01/05/10

	_	2	25	2
Pag	е	3	OT	J

Required Action					
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline					
Action item #1- Command Overtime Question 3: Are reimbursable special projects codes being utilized for all overtime associated with reimbursable special projects?					
Corrective Actions:					
Officers will be required to document the special code on the face pages (CHP 202, CHP 216, or CHP 215) of any reports prepared while assigned to work a special project. If an officer receives a subpoena, the officer will prepare the A415 immediately. Officers will turn in a copy of their A415 and the CHP 215 (or face pages of the incident report) for supervisory review. The Supervisor shall ensure a special code was used if appropriate.					
A briefing item will be prepared instructing officers to document applicable special codes on the face pages (CHP 202, CHP 216, or CHP 215) of any reports prepared while assigned to work a special project. Timeline: Immediate.					
Action item #2 - Command Question 6: Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the CHP 415, Daily Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off?					
Corrective Actions:					
A briefing item will be posted instructing officers to indicate "RDO" in the "Notes" section for overtime worked on a regular day off. Timeline: Immediate.					
	1				
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE			
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	/- 4 -/0 DATE			
	by plan	01-05-10			
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE			
employee Concur Do not concur	2 Dowe	1.28-10			